arXiv:1605.06639v1 [math.DS] 21 May 2016

Decay of correlations for billiards with flat points I: channel effect

Hong-Kun Zhang[1]

May 24, 2016

Abstract

In this paper we constructed a special family of semidispersing billiards bounded on a rectangle with a few dispersing scatterers. We assume there exists a pair of flat points (with zero curvature) on the boundary of these scatterers, whose tangent lines form a channel in the billiard table that is perpendicular to the vertical sides of the rectangle. The billiard can be induced to a Lorenz gas with infinite horizon when replacing the rectangle by a torus. We study the mixing rates of the one-parameter family of the semi-dispersing billiards and the Lorenz gas on a torus; and show that the correlation functions of both maps decay polynomially.

1 Background and the main results.

A billiard is a mechanical system in which a point particle moves in a compact container Q and bounces off its boundary ∂Q. It preserves a uniform measure on its phase space, and the corresponding collision map (generated by the collisions of the particle with ∂Q, see below) preserves a natural (and often unique) absolutely continuous measure on the collision space. The dynamical behavior of a billiard is determined by the shape of the boundary ∂Q, and it may vary greatly from completely regular (integrable) to strongly chaotic.

In this paper we consider a planar semidispersing billiard table Q on a rectangle $\mathbf{R}$. There are a few convex obstacles $\mathbf{B} = \cup_i \mathbf{B}_i$ inside $\mathbf{R}$, such that the billiard table is defined as $Q = \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{B}$. We assume that the boundaries of these obstacles have positive curvatures except on two opposite points in the table, $\mathbf{p}_1$ and $\mathbf{p}_2$, which we call the flat points, as they have zero curvature; we also assume that the tangent lines of these two flat points are parallel and perpendicular to a pair of straight boundaries of $\mathbf{R}$. When replacing the

[1]Department of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Massachusetts Amherst, MA 01003; Email: hongkun@math.umass.edu

rectangle $\mathbf{R}$ by the torus, this becomes a classical Lorenz gas with infinite horizon, and we can say that there is an infinite channel in the unfolding table that bounded by tangent lines of these two flat points.

The billiard flow Φ^t is defined on the unit sphere bundle $Q \times \mathbf{S}^1$ and preserves the Liouville measure. Both Lorentz gas and the semi-dispersing billiards have been proven to enjoy strong ergodic properties: their continuous time dynamics and the billiard ball maps are both completely hyperbolic, ergodic, K-mixing and Bernoulli, see [3, 16, 18, 19, 20] and the references therein. However, these systems have quite different statistical properties depending on the geometric properties of the billiard table.

When all scatterers have positive curvature, the Lorentz gases were proven to have fast mixing rates. Exponential mixing rates were obtained by Young [22] for finite horizon case and by Chernov [4] under the condition of infinite horizon. On the other hand the semi-dispersing billiards have much weaker statistical properties. Based upon the methods of Young [22], it was proven that the mixing rates are of order $\mathcal{O}(1/n)$, as $n \to \infty$, see [10, 12]. See also the recent papers by Chernov, Dolgopyat, Szasz and Varju [6, 14] and the references therein for related studies on other statistical properties of these systems.

In the current paper we relax the assumption of strictly positive curvature on the boundary of dispersing scatterers, by adding finitely many flat points (with zero curvature) on the boundary of dispersing scatterers. A family of dispersing billiards with flat points (as a perturbation of Sinai billiards with finite horizon) were constructed by Chernov and Zhang [11]. It was proved that the mixing rate varies between $\mathcal{O}(1/n)$ and exponentially fast depending on the parameter.

Here we consider modifications of the billiards considered in [11], by consider a perturbation of semidispersing billiards on a rectangle, whose induced map can be viewed as a perturbation for Sinai billiards with infinite horizon. If we replace the rectangle by the torus, and view the billiard system in the unbound table with periodic scatterers, thus the associated Lorentz gas has an infinite channel bounded by the tangent lines of these two flat points.

To simplify our analysis, we assume there is only one convex scatterer $\mathbf{B}$ located at the center of the table, and assume there are 4 flat points on the boundary $\partial\mathbf{B}$. In addition, $\partial\mathbf{B}$ is symmetric about the vertical and horizontal lines passing through the center of $\partial\mathbf{B}$. Thus the geometric feature of these special points are essentially identical. We fix any $\beta \in (2, \infty)$. Denote $\mathbf{p}$ as one of the flat point and let $\{(s, z)\}$ be the Cartesian coordinate system originated at $\mathbf{p}$, then the part of the boundary $\partial\mathbf{B}$ that containing $\mathbf{p}$ can be locally viewed as the graph of $z = z(s)$, such that for some small $\varepsilon > 0$:

(**h1**) $z(s) = -|s|^\beta + \mathcal{O}(|s|^{\beta+1})$, for any $|s| < \varepsilon$;

(**h2**) The tangent line at $\mathbf{p}$ is parallel to one straight side of the rectangle $\mathbf{R}$.

The first assumption (**h1**) implies that these special points are indeed *flat points* (with zero

curvature) for $\beta > 2$. In addition (**h2**) implies that any trajectory with infinite horizon is only tangent to the scatterer at these special points. Fig.1 (a) describes a billiard table with flat points for $\beta > 2$, and x_p is a vector whose trajectory has infinite horizon.



Fig. 1: (a). Billiards on a rectangle with 4 flat points; (b). Billiards on a torus with 4 flat points.

There is a natural cross section $\mathcal{M}$ in $Q \times \mathbf{S}^1$ that contains all postcollision vectors based at the boundary of the table ∂Q. The set $\mathcal{M} = \partial Q \times [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ is called the collision space. Any postcollision vector $x \in \mathcal{M}$ can be represented by $x = (r, \varphi)$, where r is the signed arclength parameter along ∂Q, and $\varphi \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ is the angle that x makes with the inward unit normal vector to the boundary. The corresponding Poincaré map (or the billiard map) $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ generated by the collisions of the particle with ∂Q preserves a natural absolutely continuous measure μ on the collision space $\mathcal{M}$.

For any square-integrable observable $f, g \in L^2_\mu(\mathcal{M})$, *correlations* of f and g are defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_n(f, g, \mathcal{F}, \mu) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} (f \circ \mathcal{F}^n)\, g \, d\mu - \int_{\mathcal{M}} f \, d\mu \int_{\mathcal{M}} g \, d\mu \tag{1.1}$$

It is well known that a system $(\mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is *mixing* if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{C}_n(f, g, \mathcal{F}, \mu) = 0, \qquad \forall f, g \in L^2_\mu(\mathcal{M}). \tag{1.2}$$

As a result, the rate of mixing of $(\mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is characterized by the speed of convergence in (1.2) for smooth enough functions f and g. We will always assume that f and g are bounded, piecewise Hölder continuous or bounded piecewise Hölder continuous with singularities coincide with those of the map $\mathcal{F}$. We denote $\mathcal{W}^{u/s}$ as the collection of all unstable/stable manifolds for the billiard map.

For any $\gamma > 0$, let $\mathcal{H}^-(\gamma)$ be the set of all bounded real-valued functions $f \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$ such that for any x and y lying on one stable manifold $W^s \in \mathcal{W}^s$,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le \|f\|^-_\gamma \mathrm{dist}(x, y)^\gamma, \tag{1.3}$$

with

$$\|f\|^-_\gamma := \sup_{W^s \in \mathcal{W}^s} \sup_{x,y \in W^s} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{\mathrm{dist}(x, y)^\gamma} < \infty.$$

Here $\mathrm{dist}(x,y)$ denotes the Euclidian distance of x and y in $\mathcal{M}$, Similarly, we define $\mathcal{H}^+(\gamma)$ as the set of all bounded, real-valued functions $g \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M},\mu)$ such that for any x and y lying on one unstable manifold $W^u \in \mathcal{W}^u$,

$$|g(x)-g(y)| \le \|g\|_\gamma^+ \mathrm{dist}(x,y)^\gamma, \tag{1.4}$$

with

$$\|g\|_\gamma^+ :\, = \sup_{W^u\in\mathcal{W}^u}\sup_{x,y\in W^u}\frac{|g(x)-g(y)|}{\mathrm{dist}(x,y)^\gamma} < \infty.$$

For every $f \in \mathcal{H}^\pm(\gamma)$ we define

$$\|f\|_{C^\gamma}^\pm :\, = \|f\|_\infty + \|f\|_\gamma^\pm. \tag{1.5}$$

In this paper we obtain the following results.

Theorem 1. *For the family of semidispersing billiards on a rectangle defined as in Fig. 1 (a), if $\beta > 2$, then the correlations (1.1) for the billiard map $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ and piecewise Hölder continuous functions $f \in \mathcal{H}^-(\gamma), g \in \mathcal{H}^+(\gamma)$, decay polynomially:*

$$|\mathcal{C}_n(f,g,\mathcal{F},\mu)| \le C\|f\|_{C^\gamma}^-\|g\|_{C^\gamma}^+ \cdot n^{-\eta} \tag{1.6}$$

where $\eta = 1$.

Theorem 2. *For the family of Lorenz gas on a torus defined as in Fig. 1 (b), if $\beta > 2$, then the correlations (1.1) for the billiard map $\tilde{F}: \tilde{M} \to \tilde{M}$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}^-(\gamma), g \in \mathcal{H}^+(\gamma)$, decay polynomially:*

$$|\mathcal{C}_n(f,g,\tilde{F},\tilde{\mu})| \le C\|f\|_{C^\gamma}^-\|g\|_{C^\gamma}^+ \cdot n^{-\eta} \tag{1.7}$$

where $\eta = \frac{\beta+2}{\beta-2}$, and $\tilde{\mu}$ is the conditional of μ on $\tilde{M}$.

Moreover, we also have the optimal bound, as obtained by [7].

Theorem 3. *For both billiard systems as described above, consider any function g with $g \in \mathcal{H}^+$ and $\mathrm{supp}(g) \subset M$. Then there exists $N = N(g) > 0$, such that for any $n > N$, and any $f \in \mathcal{H}^-$ supported on M, the correlations (1.1) decay as:*

$$\mathcal{C}_n(f,g) = \mu(R>n)\mu(f)\mu(g) + \|f\|_{C^\gamma}^-\|g\|_{C^\gamma}^+ o(n^{-\eta}). \tag{1.8}$$

Convention. We use the following notation: $A \sim B$ means that $C^{-1} \le A/B \le C$ for some constant $C > 1$. Also, $A = \mathcal{O}(B)$ means that $|A|/B < C$ for some constant $C > 0$. From now on, we will denote by $C > 0$ various constants (depending only on the table) whose exact values are not important.

2 General scheme

Based upon the methods by Young [22] and Markarian [17], a general scheme was developed in [10, 11, 12, 13] on obtaining slow rates of hyperbolic systems with singularities and applied the method on different models. In the general scheme, first one needs to 'localize' spots in the phase space where expansion (contraction) of tangent vectors slows down. Let $\bar{M}$ denote the union of all such spots and $M = \mathcal{M}\backslash\bar{M}$. One needs to verify that the return map $F : M \to M$ (that avoids all the 'bad' spots) is strongly (uniformly) hyperbolic. One can easily check that it preserves the measure μ_M obtained by conditioning μ on M. For any $x \in M$ we call $R(x) = \min\{n \geq 1 : \mathcal{F}^n(x) \in M\}$ the return time function and the return map $F\colon M \to M$ is defined by

$$F(x) = \mathcal{F}^{R(x)}(x), \quad \forall x \in M \tag{2.1}$$

In order to prove the main Theorems, the the strategy developed by Chernov and Zhang consists of three steps; they are fully described in [10, 12] (as well as applied to several classes of billiards with slow mixing rates), so we will not bring up unnecessary details here.

(F1) First, the map $F\colon M \to M$ enjoys exponential decay of correlations.
More precisely, for any piecewise Holder observables f, g on M, with $f \in \mathcal{H}^-(\gamma), g \in \mathcal{H}^+(\gamma)$, for $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, then

$$\int_M (f \circ F^n)\, g\, d\mu_M - \int_M f\, d\mu_M \int_M g\, d\mu_M \leq C\|f\|^-_{C^\gamma}\|g\|^+_{C^\gamma}\vartheta^n$$

for some uniform constant $\vartheta = \vartheta(\gamma) \in (0, 1)$ and $C > 0$.

(F2) Second, the distribution of the return time function $R : M \to [1, \infty)$ satisfies:

$$\mu((x \in M \,:\, R(x) \geq n)) \sim \frac{1}{n^{1+\eta}},$$

where $\eta > 0$ and $n \geq 1$.

It was shown in [10] that the same order of upper bound as in Theorem 1 follows from (**F1**)-(**F2**). Also, the proof of (**F1**) is reduced in [10] to the verification of a one-step expansion condition.

The following lemma was proved in [10].

Lemma 4. *For systems under assumption (**F1-F2**), for the billiard map $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ and any piecewise Hölder continuous functions $f \in \mathcal{H}^-(\gamma), g \in \mathcal{H}^+(\gamma)$ on $\mathcal{M}$, the correlations (1.1) decay as*

$$|\mathcal{C}_n(f, g, \mathcal{F}, \mu)| \leq C\|f\|^-_{C^\gamma}\|g\|^+_{C^\gamma} n^{-\eta}(\ln n)^{1+\eta} \tag{2.2}$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

Now we see that Theorem 1 (or Theorem 2) should follow from (**F1**) - (**F2**) for $\eta = 1$ (or $\eta = 1 + \frac{1}{\beta-1}$, respectively), except the extra logarithmic factor. To improve the upper bound for the decay rates, one needs to analyze the statistical properties of the return time function. In [12, 7], the upper bound of decay rates of correlations was improved by dropping the logarithmic factor.

The paper is organized as following. In Section 3, we derive properties for the intermediate map – the Lorenz gas on a torus. We also construct an induced system (F, M) for both maps, by removing collisions on rectangular boundary as well as a small neighborhood of the flat points. The hyperbolicity of the reduced map is proved in Section 4. The assumption **(F2)** is verified in Section 5, by analyzing the distribution of the return time function. Details of the singular sets is given in Section 6. The regularity of unstable curves is studied in Section 7, including the property of the bounded curvature, distortion bounds, as well as the absolute continuity of the stable holonomy maps. The exponential decay of correlations for the reduced map and **(F1)** is proved in Section 8, by verifying the One-step expansion estimates, see Lemma 13. In Section 9, we prove the improved upper bound using method as in [12].

3 Construction of the induced map (F, M)

3.1 Properties of the intermediate Lorentz gas on torus $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{M})$.

In order to construct a good set in the phase space $\mathcal{M}$, we first consider an intermediate system – the associated Lorentz gas on torus. More precisely, we replace the rectangle $\mathbb{R}^2$ by a torus $\mathbb{T}^2$, then we have an unbounded table $\tilde{Q}$, which is obtained by unfolding $\mathbb{T}^2 \setminus \mathbf{B}$. We denote the corresponding system as $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{M})$, with

$$\tilde{M} = \{x = (r, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M} \,|\, r \in \partial\mathbf{B}\}, \quad \tilde{F} = \mathcal{F}|_{\tilde{M}}$$

Note that the system $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{M})$ counts only collisions on the convex scatterer $\mathbf{B}$. $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1 := \partial\tilde{M} \cup \tilde{F}^{-1}\partial\tilde{M}$ is the *singular* set of $\tilde{F}$. Since the boundary ∂Q is C^3, the map

$$\tilde{F} : \tilde{M} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1 \to \tilde{M} \setminus \tilde{F}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1$$

is a local C^2 diffeomorphism. For any $x \in \tilde{M}$, let $\tau(x)$ be the length of the free path between the base points of x and that of the next non-tangential postcollision vector in the unbounded region $\tilde{Q}$. A point $x \in \tilde{M}$ is said to be an *IH singular point* if its free path is unbounded in $\tilde{Q}$, i.e. its forward trajectory never experiences any non–tangential collisions with the boundary of the scatterer (as if the flat sides of $\mathbb{R}^2$ are transparent). In particular we denote by x_p an IH points based at the flat point $\mathbf{p}$. Then there exists a channel or corridor in $\tilde{Q}$ that contains the trajectory of x_p. We assume the r-coordinate of $\mathbf{p}$ is 0, then

$x_p = (0, \pi/2) \in \tilde{M}$. By the symmetric property of the billiard table, it is enough to consider those components in the vicinity of the IH point x_p.

Let $x = (r, \varphi)$ and $\tilde{F}x = (r_1, \varphi_1)$. According to [8], for any $x \in \tilde{M} \setminus \tilde{F}^{-1}\partial\tilde{M}$ the differential of $\tilde{F}$ is

$$D_x\tilde{F} = \frac{-1}{\cos\varphi_1} \begin{pmatrix} \tau\mathcal{K}(r) + \cos\varphi & \tau \\ \tau\mathcal{K}(r)\mathcal{K}(r_1) + \mathcal{K}(r)\cos\varphi_1 + \mathcal{K}(r_1)\cos\varphi & \tau\mathcal{K}(r_1) + \cos\varphi_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\tau = \tau(x)$ is the distance between the base points of x and $\tilde{F}(x)$ in the unbounded table $\tilde{Q}$. In addition, for $x \in \tilde{M} \cap \tilde{F}^{-1}\partial\tilde{M}$, $\tilde{F}x$ is tangential, we define $\tau(x)$ as the distance between the base of x and the first non-tangential collision along the forward trajectory of x. By assumption the boundary of $\mathbf{B}$ is C^3 smooth, so the map $\tilde{F}$ is C^2 smooth on each smooth component of $\tilde{M} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1$. The dynamical properties of the intermediate system $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{M})$ was studied in [23]. It was shown in [23] that the singularity of the free path τ divides the region $\tilde{M}$ near x_0 into countably many connected components, labeled as

$$M_n := (x \in \tilde{M} \,:\, \tau(x) = n)$$

for $n \geq 1$. It was proved for $n \geq 1$, an n-cell M_n is the domain bounded by some smooth curves $\mathbf{s}_n$, $\mathbf{s}_{n+1}$, $\mathbf{s}'$ and $\varphi = \pi/2$, such that τ is smooth on M_n. Then for any $x \in M_n$, the free path $\tau(x)$ is approximately of length n units. To investigate statistical properties of the billiard map, it is crucial to characterize the distribution of the free path τ. The following lemma was proved in [23].

Lemma 5. *For $\beta \in [2, \infty)$. Let $x_p = (0, \pi/2)$ be the IH point based at $\mathbf{p}$.*

(1) Let $\mathbf{s} \subset \tilde{F}^{-1}\partial\tilde{M}$ be any smooth curve with equation $\varphi = \varphi(r)$ in the vicinity of x_p. Then $\mathbf{s}$ is a C^2 decreasing curve with slope: $d\varphi/dr = -\frac{\cos\varphi}{\tau} - \mathcal{K}$.

(2) The curve $\mathbf{s}'$ satisfies

$$\frac{\pi}{2} - \varphi = \beta r^{\beta-1} + r^\beta + \mathcal{O}(r^{\beta+1}), \ \ \forall r \in [0, \varepsilon] \tag{3.2}$$

(3) For n large, the curve $\mathbf{s}_n$ is stretched between $\varphi = \pi/2$ and $\mathbf{s}'$, with equation satisfying

$$\frac{\pi}{2} - \varphi = \beta r|r|^{\beta-2} + \frac{1}{n} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-\frac{\beta+1}{\beta-1}}), \qquad \forall r \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \tag{3.3}$$

(4) M_n has length (or r-dimension) of order $\mathcal{O}(n^{-\frac{1}{\beta}})$, width (or φ-dimension) of order $\mathcal{O}(n^{-2})$ and μ-density of order $\mathcal{O}(n^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}})$. In addition all boundary components of M_n have uniformly bounded curvature.

(5) There exist $c_2 > c_1 > 0$ that do not depend on β such that

$$c_1 n^{-3} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-3-\frac{1}{\beta}}) \le \mu(M_n) \le c_2 n^{-3} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-3-\frac{1}{\beta}}) \tag{3.4}$$

(6) There exist positive constants $c_1 < c_2$, such that for any $n \ge 1$, if $M_m \cap \tilde{F} M_n \neq \emptyset$ then

$$c_1 \sqrt[\beta]{n^{\beta-1}} \le m \le c_2 \sqrt[\beta-1]{n^{\beta}} \tag{3.5}$$

Although the intermediate system omit all collisions on the boundary of the rectangle $\mathbb{R}^2$, it still has points with zero Lyapunov exponents. It was shown in [23] that if $\beta > 2$, the intermediate system $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{M})$ is nonuniformly hyperbolic. Let

$$A_0 = \{x = (r, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M} \,:\, \mathcal{K}(x) = 0, \mathcal{K}(\tilde{F}x) = 0\}. \tag{3.6}$$

The following facts were also proved in [23]:

Lemma 6. *For any $\beta \in (2, \infty)$, A_0 is a null set on which the Lyapunov exponent is zero. Moreover A_0 is not empty and any $x \in \tilde{M} \setminus A_0$ has nonzero Lyapunov exponent.*

One can check that A_0 is made of periodic points based at those flat points. Indeed for any $n \ge 1$, there exists a unique periodic point $y_n \in A_0 \cap M_n$. We define its *stable set* as

$$w_n^s(y_n) := \{x \in M_n \,|\, \lim_{m \to \infty} d(\tilde{F}^m x, y_n) = 0\}.$$

Since the Lyapunov exponents are zero at points in A_0, we need to eliminate points in A_0 and the union of their stable sets, denoted as w^s.

3.2 Construction of the induced map for both $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{M})$ and $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{M})$.

In this subsection, we will construct an induced map (F, M) that works for both systems $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{M})$ and $\tilde{F}, \tilde{M})$. Later on, we will investigate the induced map (F, M), and to investigate both maps $(\mathcal{F}, \tilde{M})$ and $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{M})$ simultaneously.

Note that by Lemma 5 and [23], the zero curvature line $r = 0$ cut a cell M_m in to two parts, one of which has very small measure. We fix a small constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, and for any $m \ge 1$, we define a set

$$U_m = ([-\varepsilon_0 m^{-\frac{1}{\beta-1}}, \varepsilon_0 m^{-\frac{1}{\beta-1}}] \times [-\pi/2, \pi/2]) \cap M_m.$$

Here ε can be chosen small enough such that $M_m \setminus U_m$ still contains two regions with r-dimension much larger than that of U_m. Let

$$M = \{x = (r, \varphi) \in \tilde{M} \,:\, r \in \partial \mathbf{B}, x \in M_m \setminus (U_m \cup w^s),\ m \in \mathbb{N}\}), \tag{3.7}$$

Note that M only contains points that based on the convex scatterer $\mathbf{B}$, and we also remove from each cell M_m the stable set w_m^s and a narrow window U_m that contains y_m, see Figure. 2. Let q_1^m denote one of the two points on ∂Q that border the base of U_m, and by q_2^m the other base point.

First of all we define a return time function related to the special set M, such that for any $x \in M$,

$$R(x) = \min\{n > 0 : \ \mathcal{F}^n(x) \in M\} \tag{3.8}$$

We define the map $F : M \to M$ as the first return map to M, such that for any $x \in M$,

$$Fx = \mathcal{F}^{R(x)}x$$

We call

$$C_m := (x \in M \ : \ \tau(x) = m) = M_m \setminus U_m = C_m' \cup C_m''$$

as the *m-cell* of F in M (note this is not the level sets of R). C_m contains two disconnected regions from M_m, denoted as C_m' and C_m'', where C_m' contains almost tangential collisions with angle smaller than $1/m$, while C_m'' contains collisions with angle larger than $1/m$. Moreover, the set U_m has length (r-dimension) $\mathcal{O}(m^{-\frac{1}{\beta-1}})$, height ($\varphi$-dimension) $\mathcal{O}(m^{-1})$ and density $\mathcal{O}(m^{-1})$, thus the measure $\mu(U_m) \sim m^{-3-\frac{1}{\beta-1}}$. By Proposition 5, we know that $\mu(M_m) \sim m^{-3}$. Thus

$$\mu(C_m) = \mu(M_m) - \mu(U_m) \sim \mu(M_m) \sim m^{-3} \tag{3.9}$$

Notice for any point $x \in U_m$, and $x_1 = \mathcal{F}x$, we have

$$|r|^{\beta-1} \leq \frac{c}{m}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(x) \sim m. \tag{3.10}$$

On the other hand let $\mathcal{K}_m$ be the curvature at the boundary of U_m, then for any $x = (r, \varphi) \in C_m$, the curvature at r satisfies

$$\frac{C}{m^{1-\frac{2}{\beta}}} \geq \mathcal{K}(r) = \beta(\beta-1)r^{\beta-2} \geq \mathcal{K}_m \geq \frac{c}{m^{1-\frac{1}{\beta-1}}} \tag{3.11}$$

Clearly F preserves the conditional measure $\hat{\mu}$ obtained by restricting μ on M. Next we will check the reduced system for the three conditions (**F1**) -(**F3**) proposed in the general scheme.

4 Hyperbolicity of (F, M)

The key to understanding chaotic billiards lies in the study of infinitesimal families of trajectories. The basic notion is that of a wave front along a billiard trajectory. More precisely, for any $x \in M$, let $V \in \mathcal{T}_x M$ be a tangent vector. For $\varepsilon > 0$ small, let us consider an infinitesimal curve $\gamma = \gamma(s) \subset M$, where $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ is a parameter, such that $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\frac{d}{ds}\gamma(0) = V$ The trajectories of the points $y \in \gamma$, after leaving M, make a bundle of directed lines in Q. To measure the expanding or contracting of the wave front, let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(x)$, which represents the curvature of the orthogonal cross-section of that wave front at the point x with respect to the vector V. We say the wave front γ is *dispersing* if $\mathcal{B} > 0$. Similarly, the past trajectories of the points $y \in \gamma$ (before arriving at M) make a bundle of directed lines in Q whose curvature right before the collision with ∂Q at x is denoted by $\mathcal{B}^- = \mathcal{B}^-(x)$. We define $x_1 = \tilde{F}x = (r_1, \varphi_1)$, then we have [8]:

$$\mathcal{B}^-(x_1) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(x)}{1 + \tau(x)\mathcal{B}(x)} = \frac{1}{\tau(x) + \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(x)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}(x) = \mathcal{B}^-(x) + \mathcal{R}(x) \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathcal{R}(x) = \frac{2\mathcal{K}}{\cos\varphi}$ is called the *collision parameter* at x. (4.1) implies that a wavefront that is initially dispersing will stay dispersing. By our construction on the included map and (3.11), for any $x \in C_m$, with $m \geq 1$, there exist $\tau_{\min} > 0$ and $0 < \mathcal{K}_m < \mathcal{K}_{\max}$ such that for any $x \in M$,

$$\tau(x) \geq \tau_{\min} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_m \leq \mathcal{K}(x) \leq \mathcal{K}_{\max}. \tag{4.2}$$

where $\mathcal{K}_m \sim m^{-1+\frac{1}{\beta-1}}$ is the minimal curvature at the end point of U_m. Denote by $\mathcal{V} = d\varphi/dr$ the slope of the tangent line of W at x. Then $\mathcal{V}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{B}^- \cos\varphi + \mathcal{K}(r) = \mathcal{B}\cos\varphi - \mathcal{K}(r) \tag{4.3}$$

We use the cone method developed by Wojtkowski [21] for establishing hyperbolicity for phase points $x = (r, \varphi) \in M$. In particular we study stable and unstable wave front. The relations in (4.1) and (4.1) implies that a dispersing wave front remains bounded away from zero.

Definition 7. *The unstable cone $\mathcal{C}_x^u$ contains all tangent vectors based at x whose images generate dispersing wave fronts:*

$$\mathcal{C}_x^u = \{(dr, d\varphi) \in \mathcal{T}_x M \,:\, \mathcal{K}(r) \leq d\varphi/dr \leq \mathcal{K}(r) + \tau_{\min}^{-1}\}$$

Similarly the stable cones are defined as

$$\mathcal{C}_x^s = \{(dr, d\varphi) \in \mathcal{T}_x M \,:\, -\mathcal{K}(r) \geq d\varphi/dr \geq -\mathcal{K}(r) - \tau_{\min}^{-1}\}$$

We say that a smooth curve $W \subset M$ is an unstable (stable) curve for the reduced system (F, M) if at every point $x \in W$ the tangent line $\mathcal{T}_x W$ belongs in the unstable (stable) cone C_x^u (C_x^s). Furthermore, a curve $W \subset M$ is an unstable (resp. stable) manifold for the reduced system (F, M) if $F^{-n}(W)$ is an unstable (resp. stable) curve for all $n \geq 0$ (resp. ≤ 0).

For any $m \geq 1$, consider a short unstable curve $W \subset C_m$ with equation $\varphi = \varphi(r)$. Let $x = (q, v) = (r, \varphi) \in W$ and $V = (dr, d\varphi)$ be a tangent vector at x of W. It follows from (4.1) that

$$\mathcal{B}^-(x) \leq \tau_{\min}^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{K}_m \leq \cos\varphi \mathcal{B}(x) < \tau_{\min}^{-1} + 2\mathcal{K}_{\max} \tag{4.4}$$

Combining with (3.1), if $\tilde{F}x$ stays away from the flat points, then the tangent vector of FW at $x_1 = (r_1, \varphi_1) = \tilde{F}x$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{K}(r_1) + \frac{\cos\varphi_1}{\tau(x) + \frac{\cos\varphi}{2\mathcal{K}(r)}} \leq \mathcal{V}(x_1) \leq \mathcal{K}(r_1) + \frac{\cos\varphi_1}{\tau(x)} \tag{4.5}$$

This implies that the unstable cone $\mathcal{C}_x^u$ is strictly invariant under $\tilde{F}$, if the base of $\tilde{F}x$ stays away from the flat points. Similarly one can check that $D\tilde{F}(\mathcal{C}_x^s) \supset \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{F}x}^s$.

Lemma 8. *Let m_0 be a large number. For any $m > m_0$, any unstable curve $W \subset C_m$ such that $\tilde{F}^{-1}W$ is also unstable, let $x = (r, \varphi) \in W$.*
(a)

$$\mathcal{B}^-(x) \sim \tau(x)^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{B}(x) \sim \frac{\mathcal{K}}{\cos\varphi} \geq cm^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$$

where $c = c(Q)$ is a constant.
(b) The slope $\mathcal{V}(x) = d\varphi/dr$ satisfies $\mathcal{V} \sim \mathcal{K} \sim \cos\varphi^{\frac{\beta-2}{\beta-1}} \geq \mathcal{K}_m$.

Proof. Since $m \geq m_0$ is large, the condition $\tilde{F}^{-1}W$ is unstable implies that W is almost parallel to the long boundary of $\tilde{F}C_n$, for some $n \in [m^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}, m^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}}]$. Note that $\tilde{F}C_n$ has two part: the smaller region containing points after almost tangential collisions, denoted as $\tilde{F}C_n'$; and the larger region with collision angles smaller than $1/n$, denoted as $\tilde{F}C_n''$. Thus by (3.2), point $x = (r, \varphi) \in W \cap \tilde{F}C_n'$ satisfies

$$\cos\varphi \sim -\beta|r|^{\beta-1} + \frac{1}{n} \sim n^{-1} \sim \mathcal{K}^{1+\frac{1}{\beta-2}}, \quad n \in [m^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}, m] \tag{4.6}$$

where we have used the definition of $\tilde{F}C_n'$, i.e. $|r| \sim n^{-\frac{1}{\beta-1}}$. Similarly, for $x = (r, \varphi) \in W \cap FC_n''$

$$\cos\varphi \sim \beta|r|^{\beta-1} + \frac{1}{n} \sim |r|^{\beta-1} \sim \mathcal{K}^{1+\frac{1}{\beta-2}}, \quad n \in [m, m^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}}] \tag{4.7}$$

Moreover this implies that

$$\frac{\mathcal{K}}{\cos\varphi} \sim \frac{1}{\cos\varphi^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}}} \geq Cm^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \tag{4.8}$$

Thus the slope of the tangent line of W at x satisfies

$$d\varphi/dr \sim \mathcal{K} + \frac{\cos\varphi}{\tau} \sim \mathcal{K} \sim \cos\varphi^{\frac{\beta-2}{\beta-1}} \geq \mathcal{K}_n \tag{4.9}$$

Combining with (4.3) and (4.1), we also obtained the following estimates for $\mathcal{B}^{\pm}$:

$$\cos\varphi\mathcal{B}(x) \sim \mathcal{K}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{B}^{-}(x) \sim \frac{1}{\tau} \tag{4.10}$$

□

Next we will introduce two metrics on the tangent space $\mathcal{T}M$. Let $x \in C_m$, for any $m \geq 1$.

(I) The first one is the so-called *p-metric* on vectors $dx = (dr, d\varphi)$ by

$$|dx|_p = \cos\varphi\,|dr|. \tag{4.11}$$

Put $x_1 = (r_1, \varphi_1) = \tilde{F}x$ and $dx = (dr_1, d\varphi_1) = D\tilde{F}(dx)$, for $n \geq 1$. The expansion factor is

$$\frac{|dx_1|_p}{|dx|_p} = 1 + \tau(x)\mathcal{B}(x) \geq 1 + \frac{\tau(x)\mathcal{K}(r)}{\cos\varphi} \tag{4.12}$$

where $\tau(x) \geq cm$ is the collision time for x under $\tilde{F}$.

(II) Now consider the expansion factor in *Euclidean metric* $|dv|^2 = (dr)^2 + (d\varphi)^2$. Note that

$$\frac{|dx_1|}{|dx|} = \frac{|dx_1|_p}{|dx|_p}\,\frac{\cos\varphi}{\cos\varphi_1}\,\frac{\sqrt{1+(\frac{d\varphi_1}{dr_1})^2}}{\sqrt{1+(\frac{d\varphi}{dr})^2}} \tag{4.13}$$

5 Distribution of the return time function R

It follows from the definition of M, it contains countably many cells $\{C_m\}$ whose boundaries are made of singular curves in $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1$ for the intermediate system $\tilde{F}$. On the other hand, there are new types of singularity curves of F in each $m-$cell C_m consists of preimages of the vertical boundary components of U_m's.

For any $x \in C_m$, the value $R(x)$ corresponding to the number of bounces the billiard trajectory of the point $x \in C_m$ reflected by $\mathcal{F}$ in the window U_m before returning to M. The singularities of $R(x)$ occur at points where the number of bounces in the window changes from k to $k+1$ or $k-1$, for $k > 1$. Accordingly, the new singular curves in M corresponding to the discontinuities of the return function $R(x)$.

We denote $\{y_m\}$ as the sequence of periodic points with period m that approaching to the IH singular point x_p, as $m \to \infty$. Let $w^s_m = w^s_m(y_m)$ be the weak stable manifolds of these periodic points. For each $m \geq 1$, the singularity set of the map F in C_m consists of two types of infinite sequences of singularity curves $\{s_{m,k}\}$ and $\{s'_{m,k}\}$, approaching to w^s_m from both sides. These curves correspond to the discontinuities of the function $R(x)$ in C_m. More precisely, the region above w^s_m but below c_m consists of points whose trajectories enter the window and turn back without reaching γ_{y_m}. This region is divided into a sequence of almost parallel strips by $\{s_{m,k}\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, see Figure. 2. Denote by $C_{m,k}$ the strip bounded by $s_{m,k}$, $s_{m,k+1}$ and ∂U_m. The region blow w^s_m but above c'_m consists of points whose trajectories enter the window and manage to move through it crossing γ_{y_m}. This region is divided into a sequence of almost parallel strips by $\{s'_{m,k}\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $C'_{m,k}$ be the strip bounded by $s'_{m,k}$, $s'_{m,k+1}$ and ∂U_m. Both $C_{m,k}$ and $C'_{m,k}$ consist of points experiencing exactly k collisions with the boundary of Q before returning to M.

We also denote $C_{m,0} = C'_{m,0}$ as those points $x \in C_m$, such that $\tilde{F}x \in M$, i.e. these points do not enter into the windows $\{U_m.m \geq 1\}$ under iteration of $\tilde{F}$. Moreover, for any $n > 1$, the level sets of the return time function R can be represented as

$$(x \in M \,:\, R(x) = n) = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} \bigcup_{k=[n/m]} (C'_{m,k} \cup C_{m,k}) \tag{5.1}$$



Figure. 2: Singularity curves of F in the vicinity of C_m.

Fig 2 shows the structure of these new singular curves in the cell C_m. There are bold steeply decreasing curves c_m terminating on $\mathbf{s}_0$ or ∂M, consist of the points in C_m whose

trajectories hit the point q^m of ∂Q under the map $\mathcal{F}$. The stable manifold w^s_m crosses c_m, consists of points whose trajectories converge to γ_{y_m}. The dashed part of w^s_m does not enter the window immediately, but will do so in one iteration.

In order to determine the rates of the decay of correlations we need certain quantitative estimates on the measure of the regions $C_{m,k}$ and $C'_{m,k}$ and on the factor of expansion of unstable manifolds $W \subset C_{m,k}$ and $W \subset C'_{m,k}$ under the map F. We first state a lemma about the expansion of points in $C_{m,k}$ along all collisions in the region U_m.

Proposition 9. *For any $m \geq 1, k \geq 1$, let $W \subset C_{m,k}$ be an unstable curve, and $\Lambda(x)$ be its expansion factor under the map $F = \mathcal{F}^{mk}$ at $x \in W$.*
(1) The expansion factor in the Euclidian metric satisfies:

$$\Lambda(x) \sim m^2 \mathcal{K}(x) k^{3+\frac{4}{\beta-2}} \sim m^{1+\frac{1}{\beta-1}} k^{3+\frac{4}{\beta-2}}$$

(2) If W is a vertical curve then $\Lambda(x) \sim m^2 k^{3+\frac{4}{\beta-2}}$. Here $C > 0$ is constant.

The proof of this proposition is rather lengthy, and will be given in the Appendix using a similar approach as in [11].

Lemma 10. *For any $m \geq 1$, $k \geq 1$, the set $C_{m,k}$ have measure of order $\mathcal{O}(m^{-3-\frac{1}{\beta-1}} k^{-3-\frac{4}{\beta-2}})$.*

Proof. Due to the time-reversibility of the billiard dynamics, the singular curves in FM have a similar structure. Furthermore, the short sides of $C_{m,k}$ stretch completely in U_m under $\tilde{F}^{m(k-1)}$, while under $\mathcal{F}^{mk}$ they are transformed into long sides of $F(C_{m,k})$, with length $\sim m^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}$. Let $h_{m,k}$ denote the length of a vertical curve W that stretch completely in $C_{m,k}$. Thus by Proposition 9, the expansion factor on W is of order $\mathcal{O}(m^2 k^{3+\frac{4}{\beta-2}})$. This implies that

$$h_{m,k} \sim \frac{|FW|}{m^2 k^{3+\frac{4}{\beta-2}}} \sim \frac{1}{m^{2+\frac{1}{\beta-1}} k^{3+\frac{4}{\beta-2}}}. \tag{5.2}$$

It follows from Lemma 5 that the length of $C_{m,k}$ is $\mathcal{O}(m^{-1/\beta})$, and the density on $C_{m,k}$ is of order $\mathcal{O}(m^{-1+\frac{1}{\beta}})$. According to (5.2), the measures of both $C_{m,k}$ and $C'_{m,k}$ are of order $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{m^{3+\frac{1}{\beta-1}} k^{3+\frac{4}{\beta-2}}})$. □

It follows from above estimation, we know that

$$\cup_{k\geq 1} \mu(C_{m,k}) \sim m^{-3-\frac{1}{\beta-1}} \sim \mu(U_m)$$

Note that from (3.9), we have $\mu(C_m) \sim m^{-3}$. This implies that in this sequence $\{C_{m,k}.k \geq 0\}$, only the first set $C_{m,0}$ dominates, as

$$\mu(C_{m,0}) \sim \mu(C_m) \sim m^{-3} \tag{5.3}$$

Combining with Lemma 10 and (5.1), for any $n \geq 1$, we know that the set

$$(x \in M \,:\, R(x) \geq n) \subset (\cup_{m=n}^{\infty} C_m) \bigcup \left(\cup_{m=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k \geq n/m} C_{m,k} \right)$$

Note that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k \geq n/m} \mu(C_{m,k}) \sim \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k \geq n/m} \frac{1}{m^{3+\frac{2}{\beta-1}} k^{3+\frac{4}{\beta-2}}} \sim \frac{1}{n^{2+\frac{1}{\beta-1}}} \tag{5.4}$$

According to (5.3) we know that

$$\mu(C_{m,0}) \sim \mu(R = m) \sim m^{-3}$$

It is immediate that for any large n, the set $C_{n,0}$ not only dominates in $(R = n)$. but also dominates the set $M_n = (x \in \tilde{M} \,:\, \tau(x) = n)$. This implies that

$$\mu(x \in M :\; R(x) \geq n) \sim \mu(x \in \tilde{M} \,:\, \tau(x) \geq n) \sim \frac{1}{n^2}. \tag{5.5}$$

This verifies condition (**F2**) with $\eta = 1$ for the system $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{M})$.

Moreover, for the intermediate system $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{M})$, if we let $\tilde{R} : M \to \mathbb{N}$ to be the first return time, such that

$$Fx = \tilde{F}^{\tilde{R}(x)}(x)$$

Then for any $n \geq 1$,

$$(\tilde{R} = n) = \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{m,n}$$

Now we use Lemma 10, to get

$$\mu(\tilde{R} \geq n) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{k \geq n} \mu(C_{m,k}) \sim n^{-2-\frac{4}{\beta-2}} \tag{5.6}$$

This implies that $\eta = 1 + \frac{4}{\beta-2} = \frac{\beta+2}{\beta-2}$ for system $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{M})$.

6 Singularity of the reduced map

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_F = (\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1 \cap M) \bigcup \cup_{m \geq 1} \left(\partial U_m \cup F^{-1} \partial U_m \right)$$

For a fixed $m \geq 1$, let W be an unstable curve contained in $C_m \setminus \mathcal{S}_F$. It follows from (3.11) that the curvature $\mathcal{K}$ is bounded away from zero in cell C_m. According to (4.13)

the expansion factor at $x \in W$ becomes very large, as $\cos\varphi_1$ gets small. This implies that the expansion factors along W maybe highly nonuniform. To overcome this difficulty we divide M into horizontal strips as introduced in [1, 2]. More precisely, one divides M into countably many sections (called *homogeneity strips*) defined by

$$\mathbf{H}_k = \{(r,\varphi) \in M \colon \pi/2 - k^{-2} < \varphi < \pi/2 - (k+1)^{-2}\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}_{-k} = \{(r,\varphi) \in M \colon -\pi/2 + (k+1)^{-2} < \varphi < -\pi/2 + k^{-2}\}$$

for all $k \geq k_0$ and

$$\mathbf{H}_0 = \{(r,\varphi) \in M \colon -\pi/2 + k_0^{-2} < \varphi < \pi/2 - k_0^{-2}\}, \tag{6.1}$$

here $k_0 \geq 1$ is a fixed (and usually large) constant.

Let $\mathcal{S}_H$ be the collection of all boundaries of these homogeneity strips. Then $F^{-1}\mathcal{S}_H$ is a countable union of stable curves that are almost parallel to each other and accumulate on the singular curves in $\tilde{S}_1$. This implies that there are **three sequences of singular curves** in each m-cell C_m: the sequences $\{s_{m,k}\}$ and $s'_{m,k}$ accumulate to the curve w^s_m and the third one belongs to $F^{-1}\mathcal{S}_H$ that converges to $\mathbf{s}_{m+1}$. Define

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_H \cup \mathcal{S}_F, \qquad \mathcal{S}_{-1} = F\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_1. \tag{6.2}$$

Clearly $M \setminus \mathcal{S}_1$ is a dense set in M. Whenever we say 'the singularity of F', we refer to $\mathcal{S}_1$ although curves in $\mathcal{S}_H$ are really artificial singular curves for the map.

Since billiards have singularities, if the orbit of x approaches to the singularity set $\mathcal{S}_1$ too fast under F, then x may not have a stable manifold. For the finite horizon case, one can show that m-a.e. $x \in M$ does have a stable (resp. unstable) manifold. However the situation is much complicated here as we have more accumulated sequences of singular curves. Indeed we will first show that small neighborhood of the singular set has small measure.

Lemma 11. *For any $\delta > 0$, the $\delta-$neighborhood of $\mathcal{S}_{\pm 1}$ has measure:*

$$\mu(B_\delta(\mathcal{S}_{\pm 1})) \leq C\delta^{\frac{2\beta}{3\beta-2}} \tag{6.3}$$

Here $B_\delta(\mathcal{S}_{\pm 1}) = \{x \in M \,:\, d_M(x, \mathcal{S}_{\pm 1}) \leq \delta\}$ for any $\delta > 0$, and $C > 0$ is a constant.

Proof. We first need to find the smallest m_δ such that $\cup_{m \geq m_\delta} C_m \subset \mu(B_\delta(\mathcal{S}_1))$. According to Lemma 5, the height of C_m is approximately $\mathcal{O}(m^{-2})$. Thus we get $m_\delta = \delta^{-1/2}$. This implies that

$$\mu(\cup_{m \geq m_\delta} C_m) = \mathcal{O}(m_\delta^{-2}) = \mathcal{O}(\delta)$$

Next we consider for $m < m_\delta$. In each C_m, there are two sequences of cells bounded by singular curves in $\mathcal{S}_1$: one of which consists of curves $\gamma_k \subset F^{-1}\{H_k\}$ that approaching $\mathbf{s}_{m+1}$; and the other is $\{\mathbf{s}_{m,k}\}$ that approaching w_m^n.

Case I. We assume W to be a vertical curve intersecting a converging sequence $\gamma_k \subset F^{-1}\{H_k\}$ that approaching $\mathbf{s}_{m+1}$. Then FW is contained in the smaller region C_m'' in C_m. Let W_k be a vertical curve completely stretch in $F^{-1}(H_k)$. Then the expansion on W_k is approximately $\tau/\cos\varphi_1 \sim mk^2$. Since the φ-dimension of FW_k is approximately k^{-3}. By Lemma 8, we know that the slope of tangent vector of FW_k is approximately $\cos\varphi_1^{\frac{\beta-2}{\beta-1}} \sim k^{-\frac{2\beta-4}{\beta-1}}$. Thus

$$|W_k| \sim k^{\frac{-3\beta+1}{\beta-1}} m^{-1}$$

Let k_m be the smallest integer such that $F^{-1}H_k \cap C_m$ is not empty. As it follows from Lemma 5 that the height of C_m is approximately m^{-2}, thus

$$\sum_{k\geq k_m} |W_k| = \sum_{k\geq k_m} k^{\frac{-3\beta+1}{\beta-1}} m^{-1} \sim m^{-2}$$

This implies that

$$k_m \sim m^{\frac{\beta-1}{2\beta}}$$

Define k'_m such that

$$\bigcup_{k\geq k'_m} (F^{-1}H_k \cap C_m) \subset \mu(B_\delta(\mathcal{S}_{\pm 1}))$$

Then $k_m'^{\frac{-3\beta+1}{\beta-1}} m^{-1} = \delta$, which implies that

$$k'_m = \left(\frac{1}{m\delta}\right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{3\beta-1}}$$

Since H_k is contained in C_m'', so it has φ-dimension$\sim k^{-3}$, length $\sim |FW_k| \sim k^{-1-\frac{2}{\beta-1}}$ and density $\sim k^{-2}$. Then

$$\sum_{k\geq k'_m} \mu(F^{-1}H_k \cap C_m) \sim \sum_{k\geq k'_m} \mu(H_k \cap C_m'') \sim c k_{m'}^{-5-\frac{2}{\beta-1}}$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{m_\delta} \sum_{k\geq k'_m} \mu(F^{-1}H_k \cap C_m) + \sum_{m\geq m_\delta} \mu(C_m) \leq C\delta$$

Case II. We assume a vertical curve W intersects a sequence $\{\mathbf{s}_{m,k}\}$ that approaching w_m^n. Assume

$$\delta = h_{m,k''_m} = m^{-\frac{3\beta-2}{\beta-1}} k_m''^{-\frac{3\beta-2}{\beta-2}}$$

Then

$$k''_m = \left(\delta^{-1} m^{-\frac{2\beta-1}{\beta-1}}\right)^{\frac{\beta-2}{3\beta-2}} = \frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{\beta-2}{3\beta-2}} m^{\frac{\beta-2}{\beta-1}}}$$

By Lemma 10, the measure of these sets in C_m satisfies:

$$\sum_{k\geq k''_m} \mu(C_{m,k}) \leq C m^{-3-\frac{1}{\beta-1}} k_{m''}^{\frac{2\beta}{2-\beta}} = C m^{-3-\frac{1}{\beta-1}} \delta^{\frac{2\beta}{3\beta-2}}$$

Combining the above facts, we have

$$\mu(B_\delta(\mathcal{S}_{\pm 1})) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{m_\delta} \left(\sum_{k\geq k'_m} \mu(F^{-1}H_k \cap C_m) + \sum_{k\geq k''_m} \mu(C_{m,k}) \right) + \sum_{m\geq m_\delta} \mu(C_m) \leq C\delta^{\frac{2\beta}{3\beta-2}}$$

□

Since we have added the boundaries of the homogeneity strips and their preimages in the singular set, the above results implies that for any $\delta > 0$, the $\delta-$neighborhood of $\mathcal{S}_{\pm 1}$ has measure:

$$\mu(B_\delta(\mathcal{S}_{\pm 1})) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^a) \tag{6.4}$$

with $a = \frac{2\beta}{3\beta-2}$. For any $x \in M$, let $r^\sigma(x) = d_{W^\sigma(x)}(x, \partial W^\sigma(x))$, where $W^\sigma(x)$ is the stable (resp. unstable) manifold that contains x, for $\sigma \in \{s, u\}$.

Lemma 12. *For any small $\delta > 0$, the set $(r^s(x) < \delta)$ has measure:*

$$\mu(r^s(x) < \delta) \leq C\delta^a \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. It follows from hyperbolicity there exists $c > 0$ such that for any small $\delta > 0$,

$$(r^s(x) < \delta) \subset \cup_{n\geq 0} F^{-n} B_{c\delta\Lambda_p^{-n}}(\mathcal{S}_{-1}).$$

We apply the measure μ and get

$$\mu(r^s(x) < \delta) \leq \sum_{n\geq 0} F^n \mu(B_{c\delta\Lambda_p^{-n}}(\mathcal{S}_{-1})) \leq \sum_{n\geq 0} \mu(B_{c\delta\Lambda_p^{-n}}(\mathcal{S}_{-1})) \leq C\delta^a$$

for some $C > 0$.

□

The above lemma implies that almost every point in M has a regular stable (resp. unstable) manifold and there are plenty of reasonable long stable (resp. unstable) manifolds for F.

7 Exponential decay rates for the reduced system

According to the general scheme proposed in Section 2, we first need to check condition (**F1**), i.e. to prove that the reduced system $(F, M, \hat{\mu})$ enjoys exponential decay of correlations. Here we use a simplified method to prove exponential decay of correlations for our reduced billiard map. It is mainly based on recent results in [22, 4, 10].

Lemma 13. *(**One-step expansion estimate**) Assume $\beta \in (2, \infty)$, let W be a short unstable curve in M and $\{W_i\}$ be the collection of smooth components in W. Then*

$$\liminf_{\delta_0 \to 0} \sup_{W:\, |W|<\delta_0} \sum_{i\geq 1} \frac{|W_i|}{|FW_i|} < 1, \tag{7.1}$$

where the supremum is taken over unstable curves $W \subset M \setminus \mathcal{S}_1$ and Λ_i, $i \geq 1$, denote the minimal local expansion factors of the connected component W_i under the map F.

Proof. Let $W \subset M$ be an unstable curve. Since the upper bound of (7.1) only achieves when W intersects one of the accumulating sequences.

Case I. First we assume W crosses some $\mathbf{s}_{m+1}$, the boundary of cell C_m, for some $m \geq 1$. Then it must cross a sequence in $F^{-1}\{\partial \mathbf{H}_k\}$. Define $W_k = W \cap F^{-1}\mathbf{H}_k$. Let $x \in W_k$, and $x_1 = Fx$, then the expansion factor

$$\frac{|FW_k|}{|W_k|} \sim \Lambda_k(x) \sim \frac{1+\tau\mathcal{K}(x)}{\cos\varphi_1} \geq c\frac{mk^2}{m^{1-\frac{1}{\beta-1}}} \geq cm^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}}k^2$$

On the other hand, since for $x = (r, \varphi) \in M_m$, by (3.11), the curvature $\mathcal{K}(r) \leq Cm^{\frac{2}{\beta}-1}$. Thus we also get the upper bound for $\Lambda_k \leq Cm^{\frac{2}{\beta}}k^2$. Since by Lemma 8, the slope of FW_k is approximately $\mathcal{K}(x_1)$, thus $|FW_k| \sim k^{-3}/\mathcal{K}(x_1) \sim k^{-\frac{\beta+1}{\beta-1}}$, where we have used (4.7), as

$$\mathcal{K}(x_1) \sim \cos\varphi_1^{\frac{\beta-2}{\beta-1}} \sim k^{-\frac{2\beta-4}{\beta-1}}$$

Thus $|W_k| \geq |FW_k|/\Lambda_k \geq m^{-\frac{2}{\beta}}k^{-2-\frac{\beta+1}{\beta-1}}$. This enable us to find k_m – the smallest k such that $F^{-1}\mathbf{H}_k$ intersects W:

$$|W| = \sum_{k\geq k_m} |W_k| \geq m^{-\frac{2}{\beta}}k_m^{-\frac{2\beta}{\beta-1}}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{k_m} \leq \left(m^{\frac{2}{\beta}}|W|\right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2\beta}}$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{k\geq k_m}\frac{1}{\Lambda_k}\leq Cm^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}k_m^{-1}\leq C|W|^{\frac{\beta-1}{2\beta}}m^{-\frac{1}{\beta-1}+\frac{\beta-1}{\beta^2}}\leq C_1|W|^{\frac{\beta-1}{2\beta}}$$

Thus by taking $|W|$ small, we can make the above sum < 1.

Case II. Next we consider the case when W only intersects $\{s_{m,k}\}$. By (5.2), we can find k''_m – the smallest k such that W intersects $s_{m,k}$:

$$|W|\sim\sum_{k\geq k''_m}h_{m,k}m^{1-\frac{1}{\beta-1}}\sim m^{-\frac{\beta+1}{\beta-1}}k_m''^{-\frac{2\beta}{\beta-2}}$$

Then

$$k''_m=\left(|W|^{-1}m^{-\frac{\beta+1}{\beta-1}}\right)^{\frac{\beta-2}{2\beta}}=\frac{1}{|W|^{\frac{\beta-2}{2\beta}}m^{\frac{(\beta+1)(\beta-2)}{(\beta-1)2\beta}}}$$

Note that $W\subset C_m$, which also implies that $|W|<m^{-2}$, i.e. $m<|W|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. By Proposition 9 the expansion factor satisfies

$$\Lambda_k:=|FW_k|/|W_k|\geq cm^{1+\frac{1}{\beta-1}}k^{\frac{3\beta-2}{\beta-2}} \tag{7.2}$$

Combining the above facts, we have

$$\sum_{k\geq k''_m}\Lambda_k^{-1}\leq C\sum_{k\geq k''_m}\frac{1}{m^{1+\frac{1}{\beta-1}}k^{\frac{3\beta-2}{\beta-2}}}\leq C_1m^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}}|W|<C_1\sqrt{|W|}$$

Thus by taking $|W|$ small, we can make the above sum < 1.

Case III. Finally we consider the case when W intersects countably many C_m. Let m_0 be the smallest m such that $W\cap C_m$ is empty. Then $|W|\sim m_0^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}$. For any $m>m_0$, let $W_{m,k}=C_m\cap W\cap F^{-1}\mathbf{H}_k$, with $k\geq k_m=m^{\frac{\beta-1}{2\beta}}$ and $\Lambda_{m,k}$ be the expansion factor on $W_{m,k}$. Then by (7.2).

$$\sum_{m\geq m_0}\sum_{k\geq k_m}\Lambda_{m,k}^{-1}\leq\sum_{m\geq m_0}\sum_{k\geq k_m}\frac{1}{m^{1+\frac{1}{\beta-1}}k^{\frac{3\beta-2}{\beta-2}}}\leq C|W|$$

Again by taking $|W|$ small, we can make the above sum < 1. □

Given an unstable curve W, a point $x\in W$ and an integer $n\geq 0$, we denote by $r_n(x)$ the distance between F^nx and the boundary of the homogeneous component of F^nW containing F^nx. Clearly $r_n(x)$ is a function on W that characterize the size of smooth components

of F^nW. We first state the Growth lemma, proved in [13], which is key in the analysis hyperbolic systems with singularities. It expresses the fact that the expansion of unstable curves dominates the cutting by singular curves, in a uniform fashion for all sequences. The reason behind this fact is that unstable curves expand at a uniform exponential rate, whereas the cuts accumulate at only finite number of singular points. The following Growth Lemma can be derived directly from Lemma 13 – the one-step expansion estimates, see [8], [13] for details.

Lemma 14. *(Growth lemma). There exist uniform constants $C_{\mathbf{g}}, c > 0$ and $\vartheta \in (0,1)$ such that, for any unstable curves W and $n \geq 1$:*

$$m_W(r_n(x) < \varepsilon) \leq C_{\mathbf{g}}(\vartheta^n m_W(r_1(x) < \varepsilon) + c|W|)\varepsilon$$

This lemma implies that for n large enough, such as $n \geq n_W := |\log|W|/\log\vartheta|$, one has

$$m_W(r_n(x) < \varepsilon) \leq 2C_{\mathbf{g}}|W|\varepsilon \tag{7.3}$$

In other words, after a sufficiently long time $n \geq n_W$, the majority of points in W have their images in homogeneous components of F^nW that are longer than $1/(2C_{\mathbf{g}})$, and the family of points belonging to shorter ones has a linearly decreasing tail.

In [4, 10, 13], the following lemma was proved.

Lemma 15. *If the induced billiard map F satisfies (7.1). Then there is a horseshoe $\Delta_0 \subset M$ such that*

$$\mu\big(x \in M : \ R(x; F, \Delta_0) > m\big) \leq C\theta^m \qquad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{7.4}$$

for some $\theta < 1$, where $R(x; F, \Delta_0)$ is the return time of x to Δ_0 under the map F. Thus the map $F : M \to M$ enjoys exponential decay of correlations.

Hence we conclude that for $\beta \in (2, \infty)$, the return map $F\colon M \to M$ has exponential mixing rates by above Lemma, thus condition (**F1**) is verified. Moreover, Lemma 4 follows with the decay rates given by (2.2). Thus for both systems $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{M})$ and $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{M})$, we know the decay rates is of order $\mathcal{O}(n^{-\eta})$, using Lemma 4. Next we will improve the decay rates.

8 Proof of the main Theorems.

A general strategy for estimating the correlation function $\mathcal{C}_m(f, g, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ for systems with weak hyperbolicity was developed in [10, 12, 7].

We first prove two results that will be need in the proof of the main Theorems.

Lemma 16. *Let $D_n(a) = \{x \in M : R(x) \geq n^{1-a}\}$, with $a \in [0, \frac{1}{\beta}]$. Then for any $n \geq 1$,*

$$\mu(D_n(a)|F(R = n)) \sim n^{\frac{\beta a - 1}{\beta - 1}}$$

In addition the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}(R(Fx)|x \in M_n) \sim n^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}$.

It follows from (5.3) that $(R = n)$ is essentially dominated by $C_{n,0} = (R = n) \cap M_n$. Thus the proof of the above lemma directly follows from Lemma 12 in [23], which we will not repeat here.

Proposition 17. *There exist $e = \frac{1}{2\beta}$, such that for any large m, there exists $E_m \subset (R = m)$, with $\mu((R = m) \setminus E_m) \leq m^{-e}\mu(R = m)$, and any $x \in E_m$, $Fx, F^2x, ..., F^{b \ln m}x$ all belong to cells with index less than m^{1-e}.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 16,

$$\sum_{n=m^{1-\frac{1}{2\beta}}}^{\infty} \mu\left((R = n)|F(R = m)\right) = \mathcal{O}(m^{-\frac{1}{\beta-1}}) \tag{8.1}$$

Since $C_{m,0}$ dominates C_m and $(R = m)$, we only need to deal with $C_{m,0}$ and its iterations. Note that $C_{m,0}$ has dimension $\asymp m^{-2}$ in the unstable direction, dimension $\asymp m^{-\beta}$ in the stable direction, and measure $\mu(C_{m,0}) \asymp m^{-3}$. We first foliate $C_{m,0}$ with unstable curves $W_\alpha \subset C_{m,0}$ (where α runs through an index set $\mathcal{A}$). These curves have length $|W_\alpha| \asymp m^{-2}$. Let $\nu_m := \frac{1}{\mu(C_{m,0})}\mu|_{C_{m,0}}$ be the conditional measure of μ restricted on $C_{m,0}$. Let $\mathcal{W} = \cup_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} W_\alpha$ be the collection of all unstable curves, which foliate the cell $C_{m,0}$. Then we can disintegrate the measure ν along the leaves W_α. More precisely, for any measurable set $A \subset C_{m,0}$,

$$\nu_m(A) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \nu_\alpha(W_\alpha \cap A)\, d\lambda(\alpha)$$

where λ is the probability factor measure on $\mathcal{A}$. For each unstable curve $W_\alpha \in \mathcal{W}$, if $F^l W_\alpha$ crosses $D_m := D_m((2\beta)^{-1})$, then $F^l W_\alpha$ is cut into pieces by the boundary of cells in D_m. Next we use Lemma 14, notice that if $F^l(x) \in C_{n,0}$, for $l = 1, \cdots, b \ln m$, $n > m^{1-\frac{1}{2\beta}}$, then the length of the largest unstable manifold is $\sim m^{-2(1-\frac{1}{2\beta})} = m^{-\frac{2\beta-1}{\beta}}$. So applying (7.3) with $\delta = m^{-\frac{2\beta-1}{\beta}}$. According to the growth lemma 14, there exists $\theta \in (0, 1)$, such that we have

$$F_*^l \nu_m(D_m) \leq c\theta^l F_* \nu_m(D_m) + C_z m^{-\frac{2\beta-1}{\beta}}. \tag{8.2}$$

By (8.1), we know that

$$F_* \nu_m(D_m) \leq C m^{-\frac{1}{2(\beta-1)}}$$

for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2\beta}$. Thus by (8.2), we get

$$F_*^l \nu_m(D_m) \le c\theta^l m^{-\frac{1}{2(\beta-1)}} + C_z m^{-\frac{2\beta-1}{\beta}}$$

Now we sum for $l = 1, \cdots, b \ln m$ to get

$$\sum_{l=1}^{b\ln m} F_*^l \nu_m(D_m) \le c\theta^l m^{-\frac{1}{2(\beta-1)}} + C_z m^{-\frac{2\beta-1}{\beta}} \le C m^{-\frac{1}{2\beta}}$$

for b large. This also implies that for any large m, there exists $E_m \subset (R = m)$, with

$$\mu((R = m) \setminus E_m) \le m^{-\frac{1}{2\beta}} \mu(R = m)$$

and any $x \in E_m$, $Fx, F^2x, ..., F^{b\ln m}x$ all belong to cells with index less than $m^{1-\frac{1}{2\beta}}$.

□

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

The tower in M can be easily and naturally extended to $\mathcal{M}$, thus we get a the Young's tower with the same base $\Delta_0 \subset M$; and a.e. point $x \in \mathcal{M}$ again properly returns to Δ_0 under $\mathcal{F}$ infinitely many times. Consider the return times to M under $\mathcal{F}$ for $x \in \mathcal{M}$. According to Lemma 10,

$$\mu(x \in \mathcal{M} : \ R(x) > n) \sim \frac{1}{n} \qquad \forall n \ge 1 \tag{8.3}$$

For every $m \ge 1$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}$ denote

$$r(x; m, M) = \#\{1 \le i \le m : \ \mathcal{F}^i(x) \in M\}$$

Let

$$A_m = \{x \in \mathcal{M} : \ R(x; \mathcal{F}, \Delta_0) > m\},$$
$$B_{m,b} = \{x \in \mathcal{M} : \ r(x; m, M) > b \ln m\},$$

where $b > 0$ is a constant to be chosen shortly.

By (7.4), we know that

$$\mu(A_m \cap B_{m,b}) \le \ C \cdot m\, \theta^{b \ln m}.$$

Choosing $b = -2\beta / \ln \theta$, then

$$\text{const} \cdot m\, \theta^{b \ln m} \le \text{const} \cdot m\, \theta^{-\frac{2}{\ln \theta} \ln m} = \text{const} \cdot m^{-\beta}. \tag{8.4}$$

The set $A_m \setminus B_{m,b}$ consists of points $x \in \mathcal{M}$ whose images under m iterations of the map $\mathcal{F}$ return to M at most $b \ln m$ times but never return to the 'base' Δ_0 of Young's tower. Our goal is to show that $\mu(A_m \setminus B_{m,b}) = \mathcal{O}(m^{-1})$.

Let $I = [n_0, n_1]$ be the longest interval, within $[1, m]$, between successive returns to M. Without loss of generality, we assume that $m - n_1 \geq n_0$, i.e. the leftover interval to the right of I is at least as long as the one to the left of it (because the time reversibility of the billiard dynamics allows us to turn the time backwards). Due to Lemma 17, for large measure of typical points $y \in M_{|I|}$ we have $F^t(y) \in M_{m_t}$ where m_t decreases exponentially fast. So there exists $c > 0$, such that

$$m/2 \leq |I| + b|I|^{1-e} \ln |I| \leq c|I|$$

which gives $|I| \geq \frac{m}{2c}$.

Let $G_m = \{x \in A_m \setminus B_{m,b} \, : \, |I| \geq \frac{m}{2c}\}$. Thus it is enough to estimate the size of G_m. Since for any $x \in G_m$, one of its forward images belongs to $m_{|I|}$ with $|I| \geq \frac{m}{2c}$. Applying the bound (5.4) to the interval I gives

$$\mu(G_m) \leq C\, m \cdot \, m \, \cdot \, m^{-3} = \, Cm^{-1} \tag{8.5}$$

(the extra factors of m must be included because the interval I may appear anywhere within the longer interval $[1, m]$, and the measure μ is invariant).

In terms of Young's tower Δ, we obtain

$$\mu(x \in \Delta : \; R(x; \mathcal{F}, \Delta_0) > m) \leq \, Cm^{-1}, \qquad \forall m \geq 1 \tag{8.6}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem 1.

Next we will consider the system $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{M})$. Note that by (5.6), we know that measure of level set of the return time function for $\tilde{F}$ satisfies:

$$\mu(\tilde{R} \geq n) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{k \geq n} \mu(C_{m,k}) \sim n^{-2-\frac{4}{\beta-2}} \tag{8.7}$$

Since Section 8 have verified (**F1**) for the system $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{M})$, it is enough to verify Proposition 17 for $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{M})$ to get the improved bound. Since the estimation is very similar, although with different value of e, we will not repeat here.

For Theorem 3. It directly follows from results in [7], once we verify conditions (**F1**)-(**F2**) and Proposition 17, which is equivalent to condition **(H2)(b)** in [7].

9 Proof of Proposition 9

The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 1 in [11]. For completeness, we provide a detailed proof using similar notations.

Assume $W \subset C_{m,k}$ is an unstable curve. Then the expansion factor (in the Euclidian metric) along $x \in W$ satisfies

$$\Lambda(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{k} \big(1 + \tau(x_j)\mathcal{B}(x_j)\big) \frac{\cos\varphi_j}{\cos\varphi_{j+1}} \geq \frac{C\tau(x_k)\mathcal{K}(x_k)}{\cos\varphi_k} \prod_{j=1}^{k=1} \big(1 + \tau(x_j)\mathcal{B}(x_j)\big) \tag{9.1}$$

where $x_j = (r_j, \varphi_j) = \mathcal{F}^{jm}(x)$, for $j = 0, \cdots, k-1$, with $x_0 = x$. Note that $\tau(x_j) \sim m$, $\cos\varphi_j \sim m^{-1}$ for $j = 1, \cdots, k$. Denote $\Lambda_{m,k}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1}\big(1+\tau(x_j)\mathcal{B}(x_j)\big)$ as the expansion factor in the p-metric along all remaining collisions in the series. Thus

$$\Lambda(x) \geq Cm^2\mathcal{K}_m\Lambda_{m,k}(x) \geq Cm^2 \cdot m^{-\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}}\Lambda_{m,k}(x) \geq Cm^{1+\frac{1}{\beta-1}}\Lambda_{m,k}(x) \tag{9.2}$$

Next we will estimate the lower bound for $\Lambda_{m,k}(x)$.

Note that $\mathcal{B}(x_j)$ satisfies the recurrent formula

$$\mathcal{B}(x_j) = \frac{2\mathcal{K}(r_j)}{\cos\varphi_j} + \frac{1}{\tau(x_{j-1}) + 1/\mathcal{B}(x_{j-1})}, \tag{9.3}$$

Since $\tau(x_{j-1})$ is the distance between x_{j-1} and x_j in the unfolding table $\tilde{Q}$. Clearly, $\tau(x_j) \sim m$, for any $j = 1, ..., k-1$ and it is easy to compute

$$\mathcal{K}(r_j) \sim \beta(\beta-1)|r_j|^{\beta-2}. \tag{9.4}$$

Now we see that in order to estimate the expansion factor $\Lambda(x)$ given by (9.2), it is enough to estimate the r-coordinates $\{r_j\}$ along the trajectory of $x \in C_{m,k}$.

Let x be a point in $C_{m,k}$ (the case $x \in C'_{m,k}$ is easier and will be treated later). Denote s_x as the distance from x to γ_{y_m} in Q. Define a variation flow $\{\psi(s,t) : s \in [0, s_x], t \in (-\infty, \infty)\}$ of γ_{y_m} such that $\psi(0,t)$ has the same trace as γ_{y_m} and $\psi(s_x,t)$ has the same trace as γ_x. Assume the variation vector field $J(t) = \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial s}(s_x,t)$ is perpendicular to the trajectory of x, then $J(t)$ is also called a (generalized) Jacobi field along γ_x. Denote by J_j the corresponding Jacobi vector based at x_i. Notice $\dot{J} = \frac{dJ}{dt}$ is also a vector field along γ_x. Correspondingly, we denote by $v_j = -\dot{J}_j$, which also represents the angle made by the orbit of $\mathcal{F}^{jm}(y_m)$ and that of $x_j = \mathcal{F}^{jm}(x)$.

Note that $(\beta r_j^{\beta-1}, 1)$ is the inward normal vector to ∂Q at the point r_j. Geometric considerations (more precisely, the generalized Jacobi equations) yield the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} v_j - v_{j+1} &= 2\arctan(\beta r_{j+1}^{\beta-1}) \\ J_j - J_{j+1} &= m\tan v_j + 2(r_j^\beta + r_{j+1}^\beta)\tan v_j. \end{aligned} \tag{9.5}$$

Also notice

$$r_{j+1} = \frac{J_{j+1}}{\cos\varphi_{j+1}}.$$

Since $C'_{m,k}$ contains points whose images will be trapped in the window during the next $k-1$ iterations of $\mathcal{F}^m$. Thus using Taylor expansion we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v_j - v_{j+1} &= 2\beta r_{j+1}^{\beta-1} - R_{v,j+1} \\ r_j - r_{j+1} &= m^2 v_j + R_{r,j} \end{aligned} \tag{9.6}$$

where

$$R_{v,j+1} \sim r_{j+1}^{3(\beta-1)} > 0 \tag{9.7}$$

and

$$R_{r,j} \sim m r_j^{\beta} v_j + m v_j^3 > 0 \tag{9.8}$$

(the positivity of $R_{v,j+1}$ and $R_{r,j}$ is guaranteed by the smallness of ε and by geometry, $v_j \ll r_j^{\beta-1}$).

Note that formula (9.6) differs from formula (4.8) in [11] only by a constant $m^2/2$. As a result, the proofs in the Appendix of that paper can be directly applied to our model without much changes. In fact we can get an idea to understand the above lemma from the following estimations. For j large enough, the solutions of the above systems can be approximated by the solutions of the following differential equation:

$$dv/dt = 2\beta r^{\beta-1}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad dr/dt = m^2 v(t). \tag{9.9}$$

Let k' be uniquely defined by $r_{k'+1} \geq r_{k'}$. First we consider the interval $1 \leq j \leq k'$, i.e. where $\{r_j\}$ is decreasing. Note that both $\{r_j\}$ and $\{v_j\}$ are decreasing sequences of positive numbers for $j = 1, \ldots, k'$. Using the equation (9.9), one can show that for any $j = 1, \ldots, k'$ the following relation is always true:

$$m^2 v_j^2 \sim 4 r_j^{\beta} \tag{9.10}$$

and

$$r_j^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \sim \left((\beta-2)jm + r_0^{1-\beta/2}\right)^{-1} \sim \left((\beta-2)jm + m^{\frac{\beta-2}{2(\beta-1)}}\right)^{-1},$$

where $|r_0| > m^{-\frac{1}{\beta-1}}$ is the initial position for this sequence.

Lemma 18. *Let $k'' \in [1, k']$ be uniquely defined by the condition*

$$v_{k''-1} > 2v_{k'} > v_{k''}. \tag{9.11}$$

Then for all $k' < j \le k''$ we have

$$r_j \sim m^2(k'-j)v_{k'} \tag{9.12}$$

And

$$k'-k'' \sim \frac{1}{m^{\frac{2(\beta-1)}{\beta}} v_{k'}^{1-\frac{2}{\beta}}} \sim \frac{1}{m^{\frac{2}{\beta}} r_{k'}^{1-\frac{2}{\beta}}} \tag{9.13}$$

Proof. Due to (9.6), for any $j \in [k'', k')$ we have

$$m^2 v_{k'} \le r_j - r_{j+1} \le 2m^2 v_j \le 2m^2 v_{k''} \le 4m^2 v_{k'}$$

This implies

$$m^2(k'-j)v_{k'} \le r_j \le 4m^2(k'-j+1)v_{k'}. \tag{9.14}$$

Now we get (9.12) and $r_{k'} \sim m^2 v_{k'}$. (9.6) implies that

$$m^{2(\beta-1)}(k'-j)^{\beta-1} v_{k'}^{\beta-1} \le v_j - v_{j+1} \le 3\beta r_j^{\beta-1} \le 3\beta 4^{\beta-1} m^{2(\beta-1)}(k'-j)^{\beta-1} v_{k'}^{\beta-1}$$

therefore

$$v_j \sim v_{k'} + m^{2(\beta-1)}(k'-j)^{\beta} v_{k'}^{\beta-1}$$

Substituting $j = k''$, then $j = k''-1$ and using (9.11) we get

$$m^{2(\beta-1)}(k'-j)^{\beta} v_{k'}^{\beta-1} \sim v_{k'}$$

Taking $j = k''$ in (9.14), we get (9.13) □

We note that the above lemma implies

$$r_{k''}^{\beta} \sim m^2 v_{k'}^2, \;\; r_{k'} \sim m^2 v_{k'} \tag{9.15}$$

hence $r_{k''} \ll \varepsilon_m$ and thus $k'' \gg 1$. Next we consider the case $1 < j \le k''$.

Lemma 19. *For all $1 < j \le k''$ we have*

$$r_j \sim (mj)^{\frac{2}{2-\beta}}. \tag{9.16}$$

and

$$mk'' \sim (mv_{k'})^{\frac{2-\beta}{\beta}}. \tag{9.17}$$

Furthermore,

$$k'' \sim k' \sim k \qquad \text{and} \qquad r_{k'} \sim \frac{1}{m^{\frac{2}{2-\beta}} k^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-2}}} \tag{9.18}$$

Proof. Denote $z_j = r_j^{\frac{\beta-2}{2}}$. Then (9.6) and the mean value theorem imply

$$\begin{aligned} z_j - z_{j+1} &\sim m^{\frac{\beta-2}{2}} J_j^{\frac{\beta-4}{2}} (J_j - J_{j+1}) \\ &\sim m^{\frac{\beta-2}{2}} J_j^{\frac{\beta-4}{2}} (2v_j) \\ &\sim z_j^2 \end{aligned}$$

(we used the relation in (9.10)). Now let $Z_j = 1/z_j$, then

$$Z_{j+1} - Z_j \sim Z_{j+1}/Z_j$$

Note that by (9.6),

$$r_j - r_{j+1} \sim r_j^{\beta/2} \ll r_j$$

Hence $Z_{j+1} - Z_j \sim 1$. Since $r_0 \geq \varepsilon_m$,

$$Z_0 \leq \varepsilon_m^{-\frac{\beta-2}{2}} = \text{const.} m^{\frac{\beta-2}{2(\beta-1)}}, \tag{9.19}$$

and we obtain

$$Z_j \sim mj \qquad \text{and} \qquad z_j \sim (mj)^{-1}, \tag{9.20}$$

which proves (9.16). Now (9.17) is immediate due to (9.15). Equation (9.17) also imply $k'' \sim k' \sim k$ and $mk' \sim (mv_{k'})^{\frac{2-\beta}{\beta}}$. □

Lemma 20. *For all $1 \leq j \leq k''$ we have*

$$r_j^{\beta-2} \geq D_1 \left(j + C_1 \ln j + C_2 j \Big(\frac{j}{k}\Big)^{\frac{2\beta}{\beta-2}} + C_3 \right)^{-2} \tag{9.21}$$

where $D_1 = \frac{1}{m^2(\beta-2)^2}$ and $C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0$ are some constants.

By (9.4) we have proved the following estimation on $\frac{2\mathcal{K}(r_j)}{\cos\varphi_j}$.

Corollary 21. *For all $1 \leq j \leq k''$ we have*

$$\frac{2\tau(r_j,\varphi_j)\mathcal{K}(r_j)}{\cos\varphi_j} \geq D \left[j + C_1' \ln j + C_2' j \Big(\frac{j}{k}\Big)^{\frac{2\beta}{\beta-2}} + C_3' \right]^{-2} \tag{9.22}$$

where $D = \frac{2\beta(\beta-1)}{(\beta-2)^2}$.

Note that $\tau(r_j,\varphi_j)\mathcal{K}(r_j)/\cos\varphi_j \sim j^{-2}$, which does not depend on m. Now we use the relation (9.3) and get the estimation for $\tau(x_j)\mathcal{B}(x_j)$.

Lemma 22. *For all $1 \le j < k''$ we have*

$$\tau(x_j)\mathcal{B}(x_j) \ge \frac{A}{j} + \frac{C_1' \ln j}{j^2}, \tag{9.23}$$

where $A > 0$ satisfies $A^2 - A = \frac{2\beta(\beta-1)}{(\beta-2)^2}$, hence $A = \frac{2\beta-2}{\beta-2}$.

Now we are ready to estimate the expansion factor $\Lambda_{m,k}(x)$ given by (9.2).

Lemma 23.

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k''} \big(1 + \tau(x_j)\mathcal{B}(x_j)\big) \ge C k^{\frac{2(\beta-1)}{\beta-2}} \tag{9.24}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant.

Proof. Note that $\tau(x_j) > 2m$. Hence, due (9.23), we have

$$\ln\left[\prod_{j=1}^{k''-1} \big(1 + \tau(x_j)\mathcal{B}(x_j)\big)\right] > \sum_{j=0}^{k''} \left(\frac{A}{j} + \frac{C_1' \ln j}{j^2}\right)$$

with some large constant $C_1' > 0$. Therefore,

$$\ln\left[\prod_{j=1}^{k''} \big(1 + \tau(x_j)\mathcal{B}(x_j)\big)\right] > A \ln k'' + \text{const} > A \ln k + \text{const},$$

where the last inequality follows from (9.18). Lastly, note that $A = \frac{2(\beta-1)}{\beta-2}$, which completes the proof of the lemma. □

Next we are ready to estimate the expansion factor $\Lambda_{m,k}(x)$.

Lemma 24.

$$\Lambda_{m,k}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \big(1 + \tau(x_j)\mathcal{B}(x_j)\big) \ge C k^{\frac{4(\beta-1)}{\beta-2}-1} \tag{9.25}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant.

Proof. We will use the time-reversibility of the billiard dynamics. Let V^u and V^s be two unit vectors (in the p-norm) tangent to the unstable and stable manifolds, respectively, at the point x. Since $\mathcal{B}(x_1)^- = \mathcal{O}(m^{-1})$, (4.1) implies that the slope of the vector $V^u_{k'}$ is

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dr} = \cos\varphi_1 \; \mathcal{B}(x_1)^- + \mathcal{K}(r_1) \le c\frac{1}{m^2}.$$

Hence the vector V^u makes an angle of order cm^{-2} with the horizontal r-axis. By the time reversibility, the vector V^s makes an angle less than $-cm^{-2}$ with the horizontal r-axis. Thus the area of the parallelogram Π spanned by V^u and V^s is of order $\mathcal{O}(m^{-2})$.

Consider the parallelogram $\Pi_{k'} = D_x\mathcal{F}^{k'm}(\Pi)$ spanned by the vectors $V^u_{k'} = D_x\mathcal{F}^{k'm}(V^u)$ and $V^s_{k'} = D_x\mathcal{F}^{k'm}(V^s)$. Since the map $\mathcal{F}^{k'm}$ preserves the measure $d\mu = \cos\varphi\, dr\, d\varphi$, we have

$$\cos\varphi_{k'}\text{Area}(\Pi_{k'}) = \cos\varphi_1\ \text{Area}(\Pi).$$

Note that $\cos\varphi_1 \le cm^{-1}$ and $\cos\varphi_{k'} \approx m^{-1}$, hence

$$\text{Area}(\Pi_{k'}) \le \text{Area}(\Pi)\frac{\cos\varphi_1}{\cos\varphi'_k} \le cm^{-2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\text{Area}(\Pi_{k'}) = |V^u_{k'}|_p\, |V^s_{k'}|_p\, \sin\gamma_{k'}$$

where $|V^u_{k'}|_p$ and $|V^s_{k'}|_p$ denote the lengths of these vectors in the p-norm and $\gamma_{k'}$ denotes the angle between them.

Next we estimate $\gamma_{k'}$. It easily follows from (9.23) that

$$\mathcal{B}(x_{k'}) \sim \left(km + \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(x_0)}\right)^{-1} \sim \frac{1}{km}$$

Now by (9.13) and (9.18) we have

$$\cos\varphi_{k'}\mathcal{B}^-(x_{k'}) \ge c_2\frac{1}{m^2k}, \quad \mathcal{K}(r_{k'}) \ge c_3\frac{1}{m^2k^\beta} \tag{9.26}$$

So (9.3) implies that

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dr} \ge \frac{C}{m^2k}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Hence $\sin\gamma_{k'} \ge \frac{C}{m^2k}$ for some constant $c_1 > 0$, and we obtain

$$|V^u_{k'}|_p\, |V^s_{k'}|_p \le c_2\, \frac{m^2k}{m^2} = c_2\, k$$

for some constant $c_2 > 0$. Note that

$$|V^u_{k'}|_p = \Lambda^{(1)}_{m,k}(x)\, |V^u|_p \sim \Lambda^{(1)}_{m,k}(x).$$

where $\Lambda^{(1)}_{m,k}(x)$ is the expansion factor corresponding to collisions from 1 to k', with

$$\Lambda^{(1)}_{m,k}(x) := \prod_{j=1}^{k'}\big(1 + \tau(x_j)\mathcal{B}(x_j)\big)$$

By the time reversibility of the billiard dynamics, the contraction of stable vectors during the time interval $(0, k')$ is the same as the expansion of the corresponding unstable vectors during the time interval $(k', k-1]$, hence

$$|V^s_{k'}|_p \sim \Lambda^{(2)}_{m,k}(x)^{-1}|V^s|_p \sim \Lambda^{(2)}_{m,k}(x)^{-1},$$

where $\Lambda^{(2)}_{m,k}(x)$ is the expansion factor corresponding to collisions from $k'+1$ to $k-1$, with

$$\Lambda^{(2)}_{m,k}(x) := \prod_{j=k'+1}^{k-1} \big(1+\tau(x_j)\mathcal{B}(x_j)\big)$$

Therefore,

$$\Lambda^{(2)}_{m,k}(x) > \frac{\Lambda^{(1)}_{m,k}(x)}{ck} \tag{9.27}$$

for some constant $c > 0$. Now we combine with (9.24) and get

$$\Lambda_{m,k}(x) \geq \Lambda^{(1)}_{m,k'}(x)\Lambda^{(2)}_{m,k'}(x) \geq Ck^{\frac{4(\beta-1)}{\beta-2}-1}.$$

This proves Lemma 9.25 for $W \subset C_{m,k}$.

We finally consider the remaining case $W \subset C'_{m,k}$. In that case k' can be defined as the turning point, i.e. by $r_{k'} < r_{k'-1}$ and $r_{k'} < r_{k'+1}$. Observe that if $x' = (r', \varphi') \in C'_{m,k}$, then there exists another point $x = (r, \varphi) \in C_{m,k}$ with $r = r'$ and $\varphi < \varphi'$, whose trajectory goes through the window. Since $\varphi' < \varphi$, it follows that the r-coordinate of the point $\mathcal{F}^{jm}(x)$ will be always smaller than the r-coordinate of the point $\mathcal{F}^{jm}(x')$, for all $1 \leq j \leq k-2$. This observation and the bound (9.21) that we have proved for J_j implies that the same bound holds for r_k and for all $1 \leq j \leq k''$. The rest of the proof of Lemma 9.25 for $x' \in C'_{m,k}$ is identical to that of the case $x \in C_{m,k}$. □

Now we prove Proposition 9 using (9.2). Combining with the above lemma together with (9.2), we have shown

$$\Lambda(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1}\big(1+\tau(x_j)\mathcal{B}(x_j)\big)\frac{\cos\varphi_j}{\cos\varphi_{j+1}} \geq Cm^{1+\frac{1}{\beta-1}}k^{\frac{4(\beta-1)}{\beta-2}-1} \tag{9.28}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant.

When W is a vertical curve, since by the differential (3.1), $dr_1/d\varphi = \tau/\cos\varphi_1$, its expansion factor for the collision under $\mathcal{F}^m$ does not depend on $\mathcal{K}$. This implies that the expansion factor along $x \in W$ satisfies

$$\Lambda(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1}\big(1+\tau(x_j)\mathcal{B}(x_j)\big)\frac{\cos\varphi_j}{\cos\varphi_{j+1}} \geq \frac{C\tau(x)}{\cos\varphi_k}\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}\big(1+\tau(x_j)\mathcal{B}(x_j)\big) \geq Cm^2k^{\frac{4(\beta-1)}{\beta-2}-1} \tag{9.29}$$

Proposition 9 is now proved.

Acknowledgement. This paper is written in memory of Professor Nikolai Chernov. The author is also partially supported by NSF CAREER Grant (DMS-1151762) and the Simons Fellowship. The author also would like to thank Dmitry Dolgopyat for many helpful discussions on the maps considered in this paper.

References

[1] Bunimovich L. A.; Sinai, Ya. G. & Chernov, N. I. *Markov partitions for two-dimensional hyperbolic billiards,* Russian Math. Surveys **45** (1990) 105–152.

[2] Bunimovich L. A., Sinai, Ya. G. & Chernov, N. I., *Statistical properties of two-dimensional hyperbolic billiards,* Russian Math. Surveys **46** (1991) 47–106.

[3] Chernov N. and Haskell C. *Nonuniformly hyperbolic K-systems are Bernoulli*, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. **16** (1996), 19–44.

[4] Chernov, N., *Decay of correlations in dispersing billiards*, J. Statist. Phys. **94** (1999), 513–556.

[5] Chernov N. and Dolgopyat D. *Hyperbolic billiards and statistical physics*, Proceedings of International Congress of Mathematicians (Madrid, Spain, August 2006), Vol. II, Euro. Math. Soc., Zurich, 2006, pp. 1679-1704.

[6] Chernov N. and Dolgopyat D. *Anomalous current in periodic Lorentz gases with infinite horizon*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 64:4 (2009), 73-124.

[7] Chernov N., Vaienti S., and Zhang H.-K., *Optimal decay rates of correlations for nonuniformly hyperbolic systems*, submitted.

[8] Chernov, N. and Markarian, R.*Chaotic Billiards*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **127**, AMS, Providence, RI, 2006.

[9] Chernov, N. and Young L.-S., *Decay of correlations for Lorentz gases and hard balls*, in: Hard Ball Systems and the Lorentz Gas, Ed. by D. Szasz, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences **101**: 89–120, Springer, 2000.

[10] Chernov, N. and Zhang H.-K., Billiards with polynomial mixing rates . *Nonlineartity*, 2005, **4**: 1527–1553

[11] Chernov, N. and Zhang H.-K., A family of chaotic billiards with variable mixing rates. *Stochastics and Dynamics*, 2005, **5**: 535-553

[12] Chernov, N. and Zhang H.-K., *Improved estimates for correlations in billiards*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, **277** (2008), 305–321.

[13] Chernov, N. and Zhang H.-K., *On Statistical Properties of Hyperbolic Systems with Singularities*. Journal of Statistical Physics, **136** (2009), 615–642.

[14] Dolgopyat D., Szász D. and Varjú T., *Recurrence properties of planar Lorentz process*, Duke Math. J. **142** (2008), 241-281.

[15] Dolgopyat D., Szász D. and Varjú T., *Limit theorems for locally perturbed Lorentz processes*, preprint.

[16] Gallavotti G. and Ornstein D., *Billiards and Bernoulli scheme*, Commun. Math. Phys. **38** (1974), 83–101.

[17] Markarian R., *Billiards with polynomial decay of correlations*, Er. Th. Dynam. Syst. **24** (2004), 177–197.

[18] Ornstein D. and Weiss B. *On the Bernoulli nature of systems with some hyperbolic structure*, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. **18** (1998), 441–456.

[19] Sinai Ya. G., Dynamical systems with elastic reflections. Ergodic properties of diepersing billiards [J]. *Russian Math. Surveys,* **25** (1970) 137–189.

[20] Sinai Ya. G. and Chernov N., *Ergodic properties of some systems of two-dimensional discs and three-dimensional spheres* , Russian Math. Surveys **42** (1987), 181–207.

[21] Wojtkowski M., Invariant families of cones and Lyapunov exponents, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Syst. **5** (1985), 145–161.

[22] Young L.-S., Statistical properties of systems with some hyperbolicity including certain billiards [J]. *Ann. Math.*, 1998, **147**, 585–650.

[23] Zhang H.-K. Free path for Lorentz gas with flat points. *Continuous and Discrete Dynamical Systems*, 2012, **32**, 4445-4466.


Q
p1
x
1


z
S0
v
u
cm
S1,1
M
w
s2
s'
cm'